

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,991	04/11/2002	Per Espen Edvardsen	53550.42	2602
27162 7	7590 05/20/2003			
CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI,			EXAMINER	
STEWART & OLSTEIN 6 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068		NEUDER, WILLIAM P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) EDVARDSEN, PER ESPEN 10/049,991 Office Action Summary Art Unit **Examiner** William P Neuder 3672 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____ 1)[2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
Attachment(s)					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	in Application No			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	<u></u>	by the Examiner.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Application Papers	aror oroston roquironton	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawii irom consideration	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,991

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The specification lacks headings.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,991

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold.

Arnold discloses a riser less system and method for returning drill cuttings to a treatment area located on a rig or vessel floating on the ocean. Sump 26 acts as a sealing means connected to the wellhead. Pump means 62 pump the drilling fluid from the sump through line 22. The sump and pump provide an outlet pressure dependent on the depth and weight of the fluid that is high enough for transportation of the drilling fluid from the sea floor to the surface through line 22. Arnold is considered to disclose all of the claimed features except for the sump of Arnold is connected to a BOP. Since the sump and pump are capable of providing an outlet pressure high enough to deliver the fluid to the surface whether a BOP is used or not used, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/049,991

Art Unit: 3672

considered obvious to connect the sump directly to the wellhead as opposed to a BOP to decrease the amount of equipment, and therefor the cost, used. As to claim 2, the line 22 will connect with existing lines provided on the vessel. As to claim 3, applicant admits that the treating steps are known. AS to claim 5, the pump and sump form a suction and centralization module. As to claim 6, the pump speed is adjustable in at least as far as all pump speeds are adjustable. AS to claim 7, any number of pumps required to provide sufficient pumping action could be employed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P Neuder whose telephone number is 703-308-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

> William P Neuder **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3672

W.P.N. May 14, 2003